A 528

W H CPL

11/8/36

1935-36

App. F. IX-4

File No. 38/33-

Serial Nos. 1403-

Previous References

CA. 128-33-1411 (L.C. 2054 of 33-34).

Later References.

CA 38-33-649

STA. 375-36 (1-11)

S & A 131-43 (1-4)

CA 824-44-1-2

STA 94-44-12

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1403-

Part B for Sept. 1933-

SUBJECT.

Extension of Leave (Sri. N. M. Krishnaswamy, District Forest Officer (on leave))

WD 01965—GPB—15,000—7-7-34

G.R.S. III—3]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

Letter No. R.O. 1235 dated 8th July 35 from the Registrar, High Court Trichinopoly, recommending in the circumstances explained that the period of three years Probationary & acting Service from 28th Aug. 1911 to 27th Aug. 1914 given Hon. Krishnaswamy Ayr. Sessions Judge (on leave) may be treated as Counting for pension as a Spl. Case.

Subd

1) As the question has to be dealt with in the Fin: Secty, it may be transferred to that Dept.

Secty 9/7/35

2) The point raised is one of allowing service put in by the officer as "Probationer" & "acting Member" to count for pension.

3) This may be dealt with in the Fin. Secty (in consultation with the Pension Br. - if necessary)

CHIEF SECRETARY 9 JULY 1935

Subd CSA 9.7.35

4) Yes A.S.

5) Fin. Br. with Compts for favour of necessary further action. Copies of G.O. & notifn referred to are put up for ref.

CSA 10/7/35

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

16V

8) This may be referred to the Comptroller in the first instance for remarks with reference to rules & precedents.

NW 10/7 — H Seey 10/7/35

2) May be sent if necessary but the F.C. Branch should be able to post them up

10/7

11.7.35

3) Forwarded with compliments to the Comptroller.

M Shamiappa for H. Seey

11-7-35

38/Spl

U.O.No.9/Pen dated 13th July 1935.

16

4) Returned with compliments.

5) From the History of Services, it is seen that Mr. H.M.Krishnaswami, was appointed as Judicial Probationer for 3 years from 28-8-1911 to 27-8-1914. He had also acted on different occasions within this period as Munsiff. Under Article 235 of M.S.R. probationery period counts for pension if a permanent vacancy had been reserved for the officer and in this case no permanent vacancy was reserved.

6) There are precedents however in which probationary period has been allowed to count for pension by Government even when no permanent vacancy had been

reserved

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

reserved and the cases of Messrs. S. Hiriyanniah, retired Revenue Commissioner, N. Rama Rao, late Director of Industries and Commerce and B. Puttiah, late Superintendent of Government Printing are a few on the point.

Comptroller.

16/7/35

MSR

7) The probationary service of three years may be permitted to count for pension, as this concession has been shown in some cases in the past.

8) Mr. Krishnaswami has applied for extension of leave and says that the Government order was to the effect that he should get all the leave he is entitled to and then retired. The High Court states that the grant of any more leave will not benefit the officer in the way of earning more pension. Though the grant of further leave may not increase the period of qualifying service, it will enable the officer to receive higher pension, since the average emoluments (emoluments during the last three years of his service) will be more. Taking this fact into consideration, the High Court will have to say whether the extension asked for to the extent that is admissible under the rules may be allowed or not.

(A)

DEPUTY OFFICE 18 JULY 1935 No. 625

Dewan thro
First Member

17/7

17-7-35.

Mr. H. C. Mahon
Consult, & suggest S
I. Secy.

MJ
18-7-35.

Chief Secretary to Govt.
17-7 Emplmts

Serial No.

A

B

C

D

H

10) With reference to (A) in Financial Secretary's minute it is respectfully submitted that the Govt. order was to the effect that "the officer be given such leave as he is entitled to and retired thereafter", and the Govt. Notification granting him one year's leave preparatory to retirement was in accordance with the decision embodied in the Govt. order, as it was understood by a reference to the Comptroller at that time that one year was all the leave on full & half pay that was available at the credit of the officer. According to the revised leave rules issued in May 1934 the maximum amount of privilege leave that can be accumulated is 3 months and the maximum amount of furlough that can be given at one time is 9 months (under on medical certificate) ~~Provided that no furlough shall be granted under this rule to any officer who could not return to duty~~ and he was given these two kinds of leave. If any further leave is to be given it may have to be without allowances and this may not be of help in enabling the Offr to get higher pension

11) If the above view is correct, the question of grant of further leave may not be worth pursuing, and the other point of Counting probationary service for pension recommended by the High Court may be taken up. In the light of the information noted above, the Fin. Secretary may perhaps be requested to advise if the High Court need be consulted about grant of further leave.

The High court have also sent up proposals separately for filling up the vacancy due to the retirement of Mr. H.M. Krishnaswami from 18.6.35

Submd 23.7.35

*But vide Art 396, M.S.R which says Medical certificate should not be given to an Offr unfit to return to duty.

A certificate regarding ~~any~~ further leave of any kind that may be admissible, may be obtained from Comptr if necessary

Cpb

Phm 24.7.35

Put up

Rl

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 0484—GPB—15,000—12-10-33

Re: Leave to Mr. H. M. Krishnaswami.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. of 193 .

[App. F. III-2

A

U.O. No. 170. 2-35/KS

Dy 24.7.35

D

U.O. 7/Conf.

Very urgent

1) Reference is invited to G.O. No.3772-73/C.B. 128-33-6 dated 14th June 1934 (Copy put up) directing that Mr. H.M.Krishnaswami, District and Sessions Judge, be given such leave as he is entitled to and retired thereafter.

2) According to the certificate furnished with Comptroller's office Memo No.600/GAD. dated 1st June 1934 regarding the leave available at the credit of Mr. H.M.Krishnaswami, District & Sessions Judge, the Officer was given one year's leave which expired on 17th June 1935.

4) A certificate may be kindly furnished in regard to the further leave of any kind that may be admissible to Mr. H.M.Krishnaswami prior to retirement

5) The matter may be kindly treated as urgent.

H Chartres

Offg. Chief Secretary to Govt.
24.7.35.

COMPTROLLER'S OFFICE MADRAS 24 JULY 1935 GAD

The Comptroller to Govt.
with compliments.

U.O.No.45/GAD dated 30-7-35

6) Returned with compliments.

Mr. H.M.Krishnaswami had at his credit on 18-6-34, the date on which he proceeded on leave, 3 months privilege leave (of which a period of 1 month and 25 days was on full salary and the rest on half salary) and furlough for 1 year and 8 months and 10 days as per actual calculation. Under the revised leave rules, the maximum amount of leave with allowances

Q. O. 30/7/35

CHIEF SECRETARY'S C.B. 1 JULY 1935

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

File No. of 193 .

General and Revenue Secretariat

allowances that can be taken at a time cannot exceed 9 months (Vide Art.146 M.S.R.as amended by G.O.No.Fl.8709/S.A.139-34-13 dated 31-5-35) and when furlough is extended on Medical Certificate, the total period of furlough that can be granted at a time exclusive of privilege leave is limited to 15 months under Art.201(a) M.S.R.

2) If the leave is on medical certificate, he could be granted, in modification of the leave already granted to him, privilege leave for 3 months as noted above combined with Furlough on average salary for 5 months and one half average salary for 10 months under Articles 209 and 201(a) M.S.R. and also Financial Secretary's letter No. Fl.5673/S&A 36-34-4 dated 12/15th January 1935.

The grant of medical certificate in this case has, however, to be regulated by Art.396 M.S.R. The leave for one year already granted will itself be contrary to the provisions of Art.146 M.S.R.if it is not on medical certificate.

But the Comptroller certified to the admissibility of the leave (without Med. certificate) on 1-6-1934, and leave was granted on that certificate
Sd/- Cgh
1/8/35

Comptroller

CV

D

19) The Comptroller's opinion about the further leave that could be given to Mr. H.M. Krishnaswa has been received. The opinion now given does not seem to be, however, quite in accord with that furnished a year back when the Comptroller certified that the total quantity of leave on full & half salary that could be given was only one year (3 m. privlg. leave + 9 months furlough) and consequently this amount of leave was given to the officer. [The leave rules have been revised recently and some doubtful points cleared].

20) According to the Comptroller's certificate it is seen that if the leave be given on Medical certificate, he can be given in all:

Privlg leave:	1 m. 25 days	full salary
	1 m 5 days	half salary
Furlough	5 m	full salary
	10 m.	half salary
	18 months	in all.

B

He had been previously given 3 m. priv. leave as above and 9 m. furlough all on ½ salary. If leave on medical certificate be given ~~as~~ as now indicated, the total period of leave ~~will~~ can be extended by 6 months more ~~(3 months leave on half pay and 3 months furlough on half pay)~~ and 5 months of the furlough can be ~~5 months leave on half pay already given on~~ ~~can be converted into~~ full Salary leave. The point for orders is whether this extension may be granted.

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

21) The Comptroller, however, refers to the provisions of Art. 396 of the M.S.R. under which

File No. of 193 . | General and Revenue Secretariat

Medical Offrs are debarred from recommending grant of sick leave in any case in which there appears to be no reasonable prospect that the officer will ever be fit to return to duty".
In the present case, the officer is declared unfit for further service (active) and is not returning to duty, and the point is of doubt whether leave on Medical certificate can be given in view of the provisions of the article referred to above. It is however submitted that the article is in the nature of an injunction to a Medical officer granting a certificate. In the present case Mr. Krishnaswami has been declared unfit on medical grounds (on account of defective hearing), and further Govt. have specially been pleased to authorise the grant of "such leave as he is entitled to and retires thereafter". In view of this intention, it is for consideration whether the provisions of Art 396 (debarring medical offrs from granting certificates in such cases) may be relaxed and the Officer given the full leave (for 18 months in all) on medical certificate as indicated in Comptroller's unofficial minute.

Submd \ 2/8 Vide pars 8 of Pl Comm — Ptl — Pl
Cpl 1/8/35 2/8 Draft submd. 2/8/35 2/8 Pl 2/8

RECEIVED 3 AUG. 1935

Leave to Mr H.M. Krishnaswamy

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. of 193 . | [App. F. III-2

No. 261 dt 5.8.35

The Registrar, High Court

Requests him to inform whether High Court would wish to recommend the grant of extension of leave to the officer that may be admissible to the officer.

Letter No. R.O.C. 1235 of 34-35 dated 20th August 1935 from the Registrar, High Court, stating that the High Court has no objection to recommend all the further leave which can be recommended granted to Mr Krishnaswamy.

Submitted for orders vide para 22 above.

25) The point for orders is whether the provision of Art 396 of the M.S.R (debarring medical officers from granting certificates to officers in whose case there appears to be no reasonable prospect that the officer will ever be fit to return to duty) may be relaxed and the officer given the full leave (for 18 months in all) on medical certificate as indicated in Comptroller's unofficial note dated 30.7.35 [vide paras 16 + 17 of the Rules]

KMR 21/8/35

Leave as sanctioned already, on the basis of Comptroller's certificate d. 6.1.6.1934.

Leave that can be granted, as per pres... Unofficial note of Compt...

One year's leave from 18.6.35 made up of —

(i) 3 months furl. leave on ...

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

Leave to Mr H.M. Krishnaswami

File No. of 193 . General and Revenue Secretariat

26 The High Court have no objection to the grant to Mr H.M. Krishnaswami ~~of any~~ of all further leave which could be given. According to a certificate furnished by the Comptroller on 1.6.35, Mr. Krishnaswami was given one year's leave from 18.6.1934, ~~[illegible]~~ preparatory to retirement. According to the present Un-official opinion of the Comptroller (paras 16 & 17 above), the officer can be granted 6 more months leave prior to formal retirement, if given on Medical certificate with a relaxation of provisions of Art 396 M.S.R. The details of the leave given already, and of the total amount ~~[illegible]~~ now said to be admissible are ~~given~~ noted below: —

H.D.

Already given		Total amount admissible, if on Med. certificate
Privilege leave on Full pay: 1m. 25d.		Priv. leave on Full pay: 1m. 25d.
-do- on Half pay: 1m. 5d.		-do- Half pay: 1m. 5d.
Furlough on Full pay: nil.		Furlough on Full pay: 5m.
Half pay: 9m.		-do- Half pay: 10m.
12m.		18m.

The grant of 6 more months leave (from 18-6-935) and treating the whole leave as on Med. certificate with a relaxation of Art. 396, M.S.R, are for orders.

Submd
C.G.
21-8-35

Issue
Draft

H.D.
21.8

H.D.
21.8

1359
21/8

27) I doubt whether this is a case in which the proviso to Art 396 M.S.R. can be relaxed - Please consult Finl Secy

by
21/8/35

To Sig
with Copy

kee
22/8

FINANCIAL SECRETARIAT
U.O.No. Fl. 447
22. AUG. 1935

28) The provisions of article 396 M.S.R. cannot, I think, be relaxed. The report of the Medical Board is that "Mr. H.M.Krishnaswami is unfit for further service as a Judge on account of very defective hearing". The grant of leave for one year is itself a concession (vide article 301, M.S.R.).

29) Kind reference is invited to the note to article 827 A., Government of India, C.S.R. (extracted below) which explains how the rule referred to in article 396 M.S.R. is to be observed in practice.

"Leave should not necessarily be refused to an officer, when a Medical Board in India has reported that there is no reasonable prospect of his ever being fit to return to duty, but may be granted after careful and special consideration of the case by the Local Government under which the officer is serving. The following procedure should be carefully observed by the Local Government in dealing with such cases. If the Medical Board are unable to say with certainty that the officer will never be fit for service in India again, it would be reasonable to grant him a short

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

period of leave, not exceeding twelve months in the first instance, if admissible under the rules applicable to him. If, however, the officer is declared to be completely and permanently incapacitated for further service in India (see article 447 (a)), there is ordinarily no alternative but to invalid him from the service, either at the expiration of the leave already granted to him, if he is on leave at the date of his appearance before the Medical Board, or, if he is not on leave, then from the date of the Board's report. In special cases, as for example where the officer's breakdown in health has been caused in and by the service, or where he has had a comparatively small proportion of leave during his service, or will complete at an early date an additional year's service for pension, the Local Government may at its discretion, after due consideration of the merits of the case, grant him leave (if he is not on leave) or an extension of leave (if he is already on leave) for a short period, if it is admissible under the rules applicable to him, provided the grant of leave, or extension, as the case may be, does not exceed six months. This rule should be regarded as applicable to all officers serving under civil rules."

447

OFFICE OF THE HON'BLE MEMBER OF COUNCIL 2 8 MAR 1935 No. 1460

30) Even the concession contemplated in the above note has been granted in this case. The extension of leave on medical certificate is therefore not admissible.

23/3/1935

1-5-M.C. 31), I am afraid to be 26/8/35. 23/8/35.

DEWAN'S OFFICE
27 AUG. 1935
No. CPLO.

32) Vide paras 8 & 9 of the notes
Dewan)
27.8

33) Laque with the Fy. Member
MJ
27-8-35.
KK
29/8

(34) Draft reply to the Registrar is put up for consideration.

[Now that it is decided that no further leave can be granted, the other point ~~raised~~ re: Counting of the officer's Probationary period for pension recommended by the H.C. in their letter d. 8.7.1935 may be taken up. Reference is invited to paras 6 & 7 of notes above in which the Account Dept. appear to have agreed to the grant of this concession The file will be returned to Fin. Secrett for further action in the matter]

29/8/35

460 D 30.8.35

35) The Registrar High Court
States that the decision to retain him for 18 months will remain unaltered

File No. 169/3 of 193

General and Revenue Secretariat

Subd

(36) The file may now be sent to Fin. Branch for taking further action re: the Counting of the offers [illegible] probationary period for pension.

Sent 30/8/35

KJ

(37) Financial Secretary, with compts., for favour of further action.

CpA 30/8/35

Subs (38) The Financial Secretary has already opined that the Probationary service of three years may be permitted to count for pension vide para 7 supra. Orders have to be obtained on this point.

(39) H Seey 3/9/35.

"I" 3.9.35.

(40) 4.9.35

(1st Mr) & Dewan)

319/35.

419/35





M

7/9

M

7-9-35

9.9.35

9.9.35.

Mr. H. M. Krishnaswamy

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 16/249 of 193. | [App. F. III-2

Orders have been obtained to count the probationary Service of Mr. H.M. Krishnaswamy. This may be intimated to the High Court in Continuation of C.S. letter no 460 D 30.8.1935 and a copy thereof sent to the Comptroller.

To C.S. Wr

10.9.1935

Draft to High Court to font up, with a memo forwarding a copy of the same to the Comptroller (Through Fin Secret)

C.S. 12/9/35

12.9

Submd for perusal before issue

C.S. 12/9/35
Fin Secy

12/9/35.

13/9/35

13/9/35.

H.C. cons. 540

Draft Memo herewith

Issue

14/9

14/9/35.

Issued

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

File No. of 193 General and Revenue Secretariat

No. 558 dt 14.9.35

The Registrar High Court.

Sir,

~~Sir~~ Govt approve the recommendation
of the High Court

No. 559 dt 14-9-35.

The Amphitheatre Dist: Secty
forwards a copy of the above

R. O. C. No. 1235-
D. Dis. 33-34

HIGH COURT OF MYSORE,
Public Office Buildings, Cubbon Park,

Bangalore, 8th July *1935.*

C.B.54.

FROM

A. Sundararaja Rao, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
~~S. Venkata Ranga Iyengar, Esq., B.A., LL.M.~~,
Registrar, High Court of Mysore.

CB 38-35-1

CHIEF SECRETARY 9 JULY 1935

To

K.V. Anantaraman, Esq., B.A.,
Offg. Chief Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Bangalore.

SIR,

A

B

With reference to G.O.No.3772-73/C.B.128-33-6 dated 14th June 1934 directing that Mr. H.M. Krishnaswami, B.A., B.L., District and Sessions Judge, be given such leave as he is entitled to and retired thereafter, and to Government Notification No.3774/C.B.128-33-7 dated 14th June 1934 granting the said officer combined leave for one year from date of relief, preparatory to retirement from service, I am directed to state that Mr. Krishnaswami was relieved of his duties on the forenoon of 18th June 1934 and has therefore to be treated as having retired from service with effect from the forenoon of 18th June 1935. In this connection Mr. Krishnaswami has represented that, as he was entertained as probationer from 28-8-1911 and has put in only 23 years and odd of service he will not be entitled to full pension, and has requested that his leave may be extended by one year more. But in view of the provisions of Article 260, Mysore Service Regulations, which allows only one year of leave with allowances upto 25 years of an officer's service, the grant of any more leave will not benefit the officer in the way of earning more pension.

The history of Mr. Krishnaswami's service shows that he was a probationer for 3 years from 28-8-1911 to 27-8-1914 during which period he has off and on acted as a Munsiff. It is doubtful if this period of service counts for pension under Article 235, Mysore Service Regulations. If not, Mr. H.M. Krishnaswami's service will amount to only 20 years and odd, and the amount of his pension will have to be calculated on the basis of only 20 years of service.

In order that Mr. Krishnaswami may get some more pension than would otherwise be admissible if the rules were applied strictly in his case, I am to recommend that the period of three years of probationary and acting service from 28th August 1911 to 27th August 1914 may be trated as counting for pension, as a special case, and to request that the Government may be pleased to sanction the recommendation.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Registrar.

VJC.

No. 261/CS 38-35-2
& 5-8-35

To

The Registrar,

High Court of Mysore.

Sir,

I am directed to acknowledge receipt of your letter No. Roc. 1235 dated 8th Jul 1935 recommending that, in the circumstances stated, the period of three years of probationary and acting service put in by Mr. H. M. Krishnaswami between 28th August 1911 and 27th August 1914 may, as a special case, be treated as counting for pension.

In your letter under reference, it is stated that Mr. Krishnaswami has requested for an extension of his leave, but that in view of the provisions of Art.262 of the M.S.R. the grant of further leave to the officer would not benefit him in the way of earning more pension. With reference to this, I am to state that though the grant of further leave may not increase the period of his qualifying service, it may still enable the officer to receive higher pension by an enhancement in his average emoluments ie., emoluments during the last three years of his service. Government would be glad to know if in ~~khn~~ view of this circumstance, the High Court would wish to recommend the

grant to the officer of any extension of leave that may be admissible.

I have etc.,

Chief Secretary.

5th August 5

A. Sundararaja Rao, Esqr., B.A., B.L.,
Registrar, High Court of Mysore, Bangalore.

I am directed to acknowledge receipt of your letter No.Roc. 1235 dated 8th July 1935 recommending that, in the circumstances stated, the period of three years of probationary and acting service put in by Mr. H. M. Krishnaswami between 28th August 1911 and 27th August 1914 may, as a special case, be treated as counting for pension.

In your letter under reference, it is stated that Mr. Krishnaswami has requested for an extension of his leave, but that in view of the provisions of Article 260 of the Mysore Service Regulations the grant of further leave to the officer would not benefit him in the way of earning more pension. With reference to this, I am to state that though the grant of further leave may not increase the period of his qualifying service, it may still enable the officer to receive higher pension by an enhancement in his average emoluments, i.e., emoluments during the last three years of his service. Government would be glad to know if in view of this circumstance, the High Court would wish to recommend the grant to the officer of any extension of leave that may be admissible.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Chief Secretary to Government.

Confidential

R. O. C. No. 1235
~~D. Dis.~~ 33-34

HIGH COURT OF MYSORE,
Public Office Buildings, Cubbon Park,
Bangalore, 20th August *1935.*

CP 269
38-35-3

FROM

A. Sundararaja Rao, Esq., B.A.,B.L.,
~~S. Venkata Ranga Iyengar, Esq., B.A., LL.M.,~~
Registrar, High Court of Mysore.

To

K.V. Anantaraman, Esq., B.A.,
Chief Secretary to the Government
of Mysore, BANGALORE.

SIR,

With reference to your letter No.261/C.B. dated 5th August 1935 enquiring whether the High Court would wish to recommend the grant to Mr. H.M. Krishnaswami, District Judge, of any extension of leave that may be admissible, I am directed to state that in the circumstance mentioned in your letter under reference, the High Court has no objection to recommend all the further leave which can be granted to Mr. Krishnaswami.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Registrar.

Confidential.

464/CS 38-35-4
4 3978/35-

To

The Registrar,
High Court of Mysore, Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to the correspondence ending with your letter No.Roc.1235/33-34 dated 20th August 1935, I am directed to state that it is since ascertained that Mr. H.M.Krishnaswami is not eligible for any further leave in continuation of the one year's leave already granted to him. The decision to retire him from 18th June 1935 will, therefore, remain unaltered.

Orders of Government regarding the recommendation to allow his probationary service of three years from 28th August 1911 to 27th August 1914 to count for pension, will be communicated to you separately.

I have, etc.,

Chief Secretary to Govt.

To 558/C.B. 38-35-5 dt 14/9/35

The Registrar,

High Court of Mysore.

Sir,

In continuation of this office letter No. 460/C.B. 38-35-4 dated 30th August 1935 I am directed to state that Government have approved of the recommendation of the High Court to permit the three years of probationary service from 28th August 1911 to 27th August 1914 put in by Mr. H. M. Krishnaswami, retired District and Sessions Judge, to count for his pension.

I have etc.,

Chief Secretary.

5-5-9/C.B. dt 14/9/35

Copy forwarded with compliments to the Comptroller to Government through Financial Secretariat.

By Order,

Chief Secretary.

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

No. *Dated Bangalore,* 13th September 193 5

FROM

K. V. ANANTARAMAN, ESQ., B.A.,
Chief Secretary to the Government
of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

To

A. Sundararaja Rao, Esqr., B.A., B.L.,
Registrar, High Court of Mysore, Bangalore.

SIR,

In continuation of this office letter No. 460/C.D. 38-35-4 dated 30th August 1935, I am directed to state that Government have approved of the recommendation of the High Court to permit the three years of probationary service from 28th August 1911 to 27th August 1914 put in by Mr. R. H. Krishnaswami, retired District and Sessions Judge, to count for his pension.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

(Sd.) K.V.Anantaraman,
Chief Secretary to Government.

. . .

No.

Copy of the above is forwarded, with compliments, to the Comptroller to Government, through Financial Secretariat.

By order,

Chief Secretary to Govt.

K.M.R. Fair draft